



IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. ---100--- OF 2001

PETITIONER :

M/S Indian Bone Mills and Fertilizers.
Baldev Bag, Rajnandgaon
Through its special power of
Attorney Holder Shri Anis Khan
S/o Abdul Ajij aged about 32 years
R/o ward No. 14, Near Railway
Cabin Sakti, Tehsil Sakti,
Distt. Janjgeer Champa (C.G.)

O. P. No. 100/2 ..... D. Malik's
Presented by Shri ..... dated ..... 18-1-2 .....

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
   Through the Secretory,
   Forest Department, Raipur
2. Chief Conservator of Forest, Bilaspur
3. Prescribed Authority and
   Sub- Divisional forest officer, Raigarh
4. Forest Range Officer Kharsia
   Distt. Raigarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 R/W ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 100/2001

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

8/5/2001

Heard Mr. Bipin Punjabi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Gautam Bhadhuri, learned PL for the State.

This Court gave direction in M.C.C.No.72/1999 which was disposed of on 17/2/1999 with the following direction.

> "In the second exigency, if the vehicle is not being given to the petitioner, the authorities shall dispose of the matter finally within six months from the date of submission/receipt of copy of this order".

It is stated that the matter has been disposed of by S.D.O., Forest, Raigarh and it was ordered that the vehicle be released to the petitioner. Inspite of such order, according to learned counsel for the petitioner, the said office of SDO ~~called~~ told the petitioner that the vehicle cannot be released. Copy of the order which was passed by the SDO, Forest also is not produced before this Court. However, if such order has been passed, I see no reason why the vehicle should not be handed over to the petitioner. However, it will depend on what kind of order was actually passed while disposing of the matter by the SDO, Forest.

[पीछे देखिये

..2

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

In the facts and circumstances of the present case, the petitioner is not entitled to issuance of any direction by this Court inasmuch as ~~that~~ the direction of this Court to dispose of the entire matter within six months has already been complied with.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

GRPRJ—FS/311—1/2001—50,000.

6/9/15-2015